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BACKGROUND

• Research demonstrates the prevalence of employment barriers among TANF recipients, yet there remains little research regarding criminal history.

• A criminal record appears to be a barrier to obtaining and maintaining employment. However, little is known regarding its impact on the employment outcomes among disadvantaged workers in general. Even less is known about its impact on female workers.

• Compared to their male counterparts, women with a criminal history face more difficult economic circumstances. At the time of their arrest:
  
  • 30% reported receiving welfare assistance
  • 3 out of 5 women were unemployed
  • 37% reported incomes of less than $600
  • More likely to be custodial parents

RESEARCH QUESTIONS

1. What proportion of the Maryland TCA caseload consists of female caseheads who report having a criminal background?

Among those female caseheads who report a criminal history:

2. What are their demographic and case characteristics? How do they compare to other female caseheads?

3. What are their welfare participation patterns pre- and post-survey? How do they compare to other female caseheads?

4. What are their employment experiences pre- and post-survey? How do they compare to other female caseheads?

5. What are their reported barriers to employment? How do employment barriers relate to their employment experiences? How do they compare to other female caseheads?
**METHODS: SAMPLE**

- Random sample of single adults with children who received a TANF grant in Maryland in June 2002 (n=1,146).

- For this study, we include only those females who responded to a telephone survey between August and October 2002 (n=790).

- Weighted to represent true proportions of Baltimore City and non-Baltimore City cases in the June 2002 caseload.
Groups were based on responses to the following survey question:
  - [Do you] have a past criminal record?

Weighted responses yielded the following groups:
  - No Report (n=689)
  - Report (n=104)
  - Total (n=791)

Chi-square and ANOVA tests were used to determine differences between the two groups.
METHODS: DESIGN

Critical Date: June 2002

Employment History:
Earnings in past 2 years

Welfare History:
# of months of receipt
in previous 5 years

Welfare & Employment Follow-up:
Earnings and Participation
4 years after survey
• Maryland TANF Caseload Survey, sponsored by ASPE
  - Included questions re: the prevalence and degree of various employment barriers over the previous year (June 2001 – June 2002)
  - Conducted for FWRTG through CATI by Mathematica Policy Research, Inc. (MPR)

• Maryland State Administrative Systems
  - Client Information System
  - Maryland Unemployment Insurance System
What proportion of the Maryland TCA caseload consists of female caseheads who report having a criminal background?
For a sizeable minority of welfare recipients, a criminal background may pose a barrier to obtaining and maintaining employment.

Report 13.1% (n=104)
No Report 86.9% (n=687)
RESEARCH QUESTION 2

Among those who report a criminal history, what are their demographic and case characteristics?

How do their characteristics compare to other female caseheads?
In terms of demographics, there are no significant differences between non-reporters and reporters.

- On average, reporters tend to be older than non-reporters (31.7 years versus 30.1 years, respectively).

- Reporters are less likely to be African American.
CASE CHARACTERISTICS

Children Less Than Age 18 Living Outside the Home***

Reporters are three times more likely to have children living outside of the home than non-reporters.
CASE CHARACTERISTICS

Number of Children Living Outside the Home***

Among reporters with children outside the home, more one-third have 3 or more children living outside the home.

*\(p<0.05\), **\(p<0.01\), ***\(p<0.001\)
RESEARCH QUESTION 3

Among those who report a criminal history, what are their pre- and post-survey welfare participation patterns?

How do their patterns compare to other female caseheads?
WELFARE RECEIPT HISTORY

Pre-Survey TANF Receipt

- Reporters are significantly more likely to have a longer welfare receipt history prior to the survey (i.e. >36 months).

- Reporters average 7.1 more months of time-limited TANF than non-reporters prior to survey.

*\(p<0.05\), **\(p<0.01\), ***\(p<0.001\)
**WELFARE FOLLOW-UP**

Post-Survey TANF Receipt

Reporters are more likely to receive TANF for significantly longer periods during the follow-up period.

Number of Months of Receipt During Entire 4-Year Follow-Up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No Report</th>
<th>Report</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean **</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>19.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>0-48</td>
<td>0-48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*<p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001

% Received TANF During Follow-Up

- 1st Follow-Up Year: 93.6% No Report, 96.1% Report
- 2nd Follow-Up Year: 53.3% No Report, 66.1% Report
- 3rd Follow-Up Year: 38.6% No Report, 53.9% Report
- 4th Follow-Up Year: 30.1% No Report, 33.0% Report

Diagram showing the percentage of reporters receiving TANF during different follow-up years.
RESEARCH QUESTION 4

Among those who report a criminal history, what are their pre- and post-survey UI-employment experiences?

How do their experiences compare to other female caseheads?
Employment Experiences

Pre-Survey Employment

- Reporters were more likely to have been employed in Maryland UI-covered jobs than non-reporters.

- On average, reporters held significantly more UI-Covered jobs than non-reporters.
EMPLOYMENT EXPERIENCES

Pre-Survey Earnings

Though reporters were more likely to be employed prior to survey, they typically earned less in terms of median total earnings.

Median Total Earnings Prior to Survey

- 8 Quarters Prior to Survey: $5,168.78
- 4 Quarters Prior to Survey: $2,413.28
- Quarter of Survey: $0.00

*<p><sup>0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001</sup>
Reporters are less likely to be UI-employed than non-reporters during the follow-up period.

On average, reporters hold significantly more UI-covered jobs than non-reporters during the follow-up period.
Reporters typically earn less than non-reporters in terms of median total earnings. However, reporters’ earnings continue to increase, while non-reporters’ earnings plateau toward the end of the follow-up period.
Among those who report a criminal history, what are their reported barriers to employment and how do these barriers relate to subsequent employment experiences?

How do the employment experiences of reporters compare to other female caseheads?
Human Capital Deficits

Reporters are significantly less likely to have at least a high school education than non-reporters. Additionally, reporters are significantly less likely to perform basic job skills.
EMPLOYMENT BARRIERS

Personal and Family Challenges

Reports are significantly more likely to have a child or family member with a health or special need or experience severe physical domestic violence.

* p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001

*No Report* | *Report*
---|---
Physical Health Problem | 20.7 | 19.1
Child or Family Member with Health or Special Need*** | 32.0 | 44.5
Mental Health Problem | 28.6 | 32.7
Chemical Dependence | 4.7 | 7.2
Severe Physical Domestic Violence* | 13.6 | 31.8
Possible Presence of a Learning Disability | 13.9 | 20.8
Pregnant | 5.5 | 2.7
Employment Barriers

Logistical and Situational Challenges

Reporters are significantly more likely to report transportation, housing, discrimination, and neighborhood challenges.

* p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001
Total Employment Liabilities***

On average, reporters have significantly more employment liabilities than non-reporters. Half of reporters have more than 4 liabilities.

Mean Number of Total Employment Liabilities

* p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001
Employment Follow-up

Reported Barriers & Employment

• When these reported employment barriers are considered, employment patterns are notably different between the two groups.

  • Reporters who possess
    • 2 or more human capital deficits are more likely to be employed than non-reporters who too face those challenges.

  • Reporters who face
    • 2 or more logistical or situational challenges
    • 2 or more personal and family challenges are less likely to be employed than non-reporters who also face those challenges.

• However, in all cases, reporters earn less in terms of median quarterly earnings.
EMPLOYMENT FOLLOW-UP

Reported Barriers & Employment

When reported employment barriers are considered, employment patterns are notably different between the reporters and non-reporters.

% Employed & Median Quarterly Earnings During Entire Follow-Up Period

- 2 or More Human Capital Deficits
  - No Report: $1,577.40
  - Report: $1,236.00
- 2 or More Personal & Family Challenges
  - No Report: $1,582.65
  - Report: $1,218.44
- 2 or More Logistical or Situational Challenges
  - No Report: $1,933.86
  - Report: $1,578.77
- 2 or More Total Liabilities
  - No Report: $1,769.00
  - Report: $1,633.76
CONCLUSIONS

• Only slight demographic differences between reporters and non-reporters.

• Reporters are long-term TANF recipients.

• Work effort among reporters is high, but there is some indication that they lack job stability.

• Reporters are more likely to have other barriers to employment, and to be more disadvantaged overall.
LIMITATIONS

• UI-employment data is limited to a select group of Maryland employers. To the extent that reporters are more or less likely to work in these types of jobs, employment data will be skewed.

• Survey data is self-reported. It is unknown whether some with a criminal background choose not to report it.
IMPLICATIONS

- There is a need to address criminal record status as part of regular client assessment within TANF, specifically:
  - As an indicator of other potential barriers.
  - In order to refer to appropriate jobs and/or re-entry services, especially those services rendering job skill development.

- Further research is needed regarding:
  - The number of hours worked within a quarter, and job stability overall.
  - Family and child well-being, related to the finding which indicates a disproportionate number of children living outside the home among reporters.
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The full report will be available shortly on our website:

http://www.familywelfare.umaryland.edu